# ADDITIONS AND AMENDMENTS TO A RECENT CLASSIFICATION OF *DACUS* FABRICIUS (DIPTERA: TEPHRITIDAE: DACINAE)

### D.L. HANCOCK

PO Box 2464, Cairns, Qld 4870

#### Abstract

Twenty-nine newly described or recognised species of Afrotropical and Indo-Australian *Dacus* Fabricius are placed within a classification proposed for all species. In addition, the Australian species *D. concolor* Drew is placed as a new synonym of *D. (Neodacus) salamander* Drew & Hancock, stat. rev., the African species *D. chrysomphalus* (Bezzi) is transferred from subgenus *Mictodacus* Munro to the *D. (Leptoxyda) eminus* group and the Afrotropical *scaber* group is transferred from subgenus *Psilodacus* Collart to subgenus *Didacus* Collart. *Metidacus* Munro, *Coccinodacus* Munro and *Andriadacus* Munro are placed as new synonyms of *Leptoxyda* Macquart. *Saccodacus* Munro is placed as a new synonym of *Didacus* and the *scaber* group is regarded as a close ally of the Sri Lankan species *D. (Didacus) keiseri* (Hering).

#### Introduction

Two recent contributions on the classification of the widespread fruit fly genus Dacus Fabricius (Hancock and Drew 2006, White 2006) agreed in many respects but differed substantially in others. These differences largely result from the different interpretation of three key features: the geographical centre of origin of the genus, its primitive host plant group and the nature of the yellow marking along the mesonotal suture in the ancestral species. These were regarded, respectively, as Southeast Asia, Asclepiadaceae and broadly connected to the notopleural callus by Hancock and Drew (2006), or as Africa, Cucurbitaceae and an isolated spot by White (2006). Further evidence is needed to determine which (if either) of these sets of assumptions is correct and if the outgroup selections are appropriate. Contrary to White (2006), a broadly connected sutural marking is present in several Indo-Australian species of Bactrocera Macquart, in both the Bactrocera and Zeugodacus groups of subgenera (e.g. B. (Bactrocera) mendosa (May), B. (Asiadacus) brachycera (Bezzi) [= fuscans Wang], B. (Sinodacus) hochii (Zia), B. (S.) binovi Drew, B. (S.) transversa (Hardy), B. (S.) perpusilla (Drew), B. (Zeugodacus) gavisa (Munro), B. (Z.) macrovittata Drew). The sutural marking is also connected in the basal genus Monacrostichus Bezzi.

## Discussion

With the loss of some species to synonymy (White 2006) and the addition of newly described or recognised taxa from the Afrotropical Region (White 2006) and Bhutan (Drew et al. 2007), the number of Dacus species now recognised is 249 (177 Afrotropical and 72 Indo-Australian). Incorporation of the new data provided by White (2006) maintained a high degree of stability within the classification of Hancock and Drew (2006), except that biological information requires the transfer of the scaber group from subgenus Psilodacus Collart to subgenus Didacus Collart. In addition, the D. (Dacus) venetatus and D. (Psilodacus) semisphaereus groups should, on

morphological evidence (White 2006), be combined with the *D. (D.) eclipsis* and *D. (P.) mulgens* groups respectively.

One anomalous species that tests both classifications is D. chrysomphalus (Bezzi). Placed in subgenus *Mictodacus* Munro by Hancock and Drew (2006) and in subgenus Dacus by White (2006), it has the sutural yellow mark often interrupted medially; hence this character could be interpreted either as united with the notopleuron or isolated. Its host plant has been recorded as Marsdenia abyssinica (Asclepiadaceae) (White 2006) and, although this record has not yet been repeated, it is considered to be reliable. This, together with the variable sutural mark, an apically expanded costal band that does not cross vein M and several other morphological characters (e.g. structure of the aedeagus and shape of the surstyli), suggests an affinity with species placed in subgenus Leptoxyda Macquart. D. chrysomphalus is placed here within the D. (Leptoxyda) eminus group; it retains supra-alar setae and three distinct postsutural yellow vittae and keys to couplet 11 in Hancock and Drew (2006). As a consequence of this transfer, recognition of subgenus *Metidacus* Munro (= Coccinodacus Munro; = Andriadacus Munro) becomes untenable and all three names are regarded here as new synonyms of Leptoxyda.

White (2006) noted that four species in the scaber group of Hancock and Drew (2006), viz. D. apostata (Hering) [= retextus (Munro)], D. triater Munro, D. phloginus (Munro) and D. rufoscutellatus (Hering), were bred from the fruit of Zehneria (Cucurbitaceae). Thus they cannot remain in subgenus Psilodacus sensu Hancock and Drew (2006) which, by definition, includes no cucurbit-feeding species. White (2006) placed the above species, together with D. nigriscutatus White, in subgenus Lophodacus Collart but they lack the medial vitta on the scutum and breed in fruit rather than the stamens of male flowers, both used as defining characters of Lophodacus by Hancock and Drew (2006). They also lack the black face seen in all other Lophodacus species except D. (L.) elegans (Munro) and are best placed in subgenus Didacus sensu Hancock and Drew (2006). The host plant data, lack of lure response and similarity in general appearance (including the small size and lack of an anal streak) suggest a close relationship between the scaber group and the Sri Lankan D. (Didacus) keiseri (Hering) but the relationships of the Southeast Asian D. (D.) hainanus Wang & Zhao remain uncertain. As a result of this transfer, Saccodacus Munro (with type species D. triater) becomes a new synonym of *Didacus* Collart.

Other species included in the *scaber* group by Hancock and Drew (2006) were retained in subgenus *Psilodacus* by White (2006), but the very similar structure of the male aedeagus (with a centralised apicodorsal rod and large apical membrane) suggests all members of the group belong in *Didacus*; consequently, *D. scaber* Loew, *D. basifasciatus* (Hering) and *D. namibiensis* Hancock & Drew are also transferred. The entirely yellow face and loss of all or most of the microtrichia in cell br above cell bm distinguishes this group.

**Table 1.** Placement of newly described, misplaced or previously unrecognised species of *Dacus* according to the classification of Hancock and Drew (2006).

As currently listed or recently described	Suggested placement
Indo-Australian taxa	
D. (Mellesis) dorjii Drew & Romig *	D. (Mellesis) siamensis group
D. (Mellesis) fletcheri Drew *	D. (Mellesis) siamensis group
Bactrocera salamander (Drew & Hancock) *	D. (Neodacus) absonifacies group
Afrotropical taxa	
D. (Dacus) apiculatus White *	D. (Dacus) eclipsis group
D. (Dacus) limbipennis Macquart	D. (Dacus) armatus group
D. (Dacus) madagascariensis White *	D. (Dacus) armatus group
D. (Dacus) deltatus White	D. (Dacus) fasciolatus group
D. (Dacus) segunii White *	D. (Dacus) fasciolatus group
D. (Ambitidacus) pulchralis White *	D. (Dacus) fasciolatus group
D. (Ambitidacus) katonae Bezzi	D. (Psilodacus) brevistriga group
D. (Didacus) briani White	D. (Psilodacus) mulgens group
D. (Didacus) congoensis White	D. (Psilodacus) binotatus group
D. (Didacus) fissuratus White	D. (Psilodacus) freidbergi group
D. (Didacus) nairobensis White	D. (Psilodacus) macer group
D. (Didacus) yemenensis White	D. (Leptoxyda) mirificus group
D. (Didacus) copelandi White	D. (Leptoxyda) eminus group
D. (Didacus) elatus White	D. (Leptoxyda) eminus group
D. (Leptoxyda) kakamega White	D. (Leptoxyda) eminus group
D. (Leptoxyda) mediovittatus White *	D. (Leptoxyda) eminus group
D. (Leptoxyda) nigrolateris White	D. (Leptoxyda) eminus group
D. (Leptoxyda) parvimaculatus White	D. (Leptoxyda) eminus group
D. (Leptoxyda) arabicus White	D. (Leptoxyda) obesus group
D. (Leptoxyda) apectus White	D. (Psilodacus) binotatus group
D. (Leptoxyda) pleuralis Collart	D. (Mictodacus) sphaeristicus grouj
D. (Lophodacus) nigriscutatus White	D. (Didacus) scaber group
D. (Lophodacus) umehi White	D. (Leptoxyda) umehi group
D. (Mictodacus) chrysomphalus (Bezzi) 1	D. (Leptoxyda) eminus group
D. (Neodacus) quilicii White *	D. (Neodacus) xanthaspis group
D. (Psilodacus) gabonensis White	D. (Dacus) purus group
D. (Psilodacus) merzi White	D. (Dacus) purus group
D. (Psilodacus) okumuae White <sup>2</sup>	D. (Didacus) ciliatus group
D. (Psilodacus) scaber group <sup>3</sup>	D. (Didacus) scaber group

<sup>\* =</sup> collected in cue-lure traps; <sup>1</sup> = bred from fruit of *Marsdenia* (Asclepiadaceae); <sup>2</sup> = bred from fruit of *Gerrardanthus* (Cucurbitaceae); <sup>3</sup> = bred from fruit of *Zehneria* (Cucurbitaceae).

The Australian *Dacus (Neodacus) salamander* Drew & Hancock, stat. rev. (= concolor Drew, syn. n.) has fused abdominal tergites and a very weak supernumerary lobe on the wing. Accordingly, it is transferred from

Bactrocera (Sinodacus) Zia to the D. (N.) absonifacies group. The postpronotal lobes are either entirely yellow or anteriorly darkened and the medial postsutural yellow vitta is a little variable in shape.

The 29 nominal species recently recognised or described by White (2006) and Drew et al. (2007), plus the misplaced taxa discussed above, are listed in Table 1, together with an indication of where they belong according to the system of Hancock and Drew (2006). Several synonyms were proposed by White (2006) but, apart from D. (Mictodacus) tubatus Munro (now regarded as a synonym of D. (Leptoxyda) aspilus Bezzi), their subgeneric placements remain unchanged. Species transferred here from subgenus Didacus to subgenus Leptoxyda appear to belong in either the D. (L.) mirificus group (D. yemenensis White, which has fuscous costal cells and a reduced anal stripe), or the D. (L.) eminus group, close to D. carnesi (Munro) (with fulvous costal cells and a distinct anal stripe). D. umehi White was included provisionally in Lophodacus by White (2006); however, the presence of a slender medial vitta plus a distinct anal stripe and no pecten suggest it is best placed as a monotypic group within Leptoxyda, close to the herensis group.

In Hancock and Drew (2006: Appendix 2), character 32 for *D. (Mellesis)* pedunculatus (Bezzi) and *D. (Didacus)* apostata (Hering) should read '0' [pecten present], not '2'; characters 25-27 for *D. (Didacus)* namibiensis should read '222', not '333'; character 3 for *D. (Leptoxyda)* externellus (Munro) should read '0' [anterior notopleural seta present], not '1'; character 3 for *D. (Psilodacus)* elutissimus Bezzi should read '1' [anterior notopleural seta absent], not '0'; and characters for *D. (P.)* semisphaereus Becker should read '0110 3-300 02022 11110 20100 0020? 600'. In White (2006: cd-rom file D2), the record of *D. scaber* from 'Kilimanjaro' probably refers to a farm in South Africa, not Mt Kilimanjaro in Tanzania, whereas the record of '*D. humeralis*' from Mackay, Q[ueensland] refers to Bactrocera neohumeralis (Hardy), a replacement name for 'Dacus' humeralis Perkins, not Bezzi.

# Acknowledgements

I thank Kerrie Huxham and Sally Cowan (AQIS, Cairns) for initially recognising the apparent *D. salamander/D. concolor* synonymy and bringing it to my attention, and Prof. R. Drew (Griffith University) for confirming it.

#### References

DREW, R.A.I., ROMIG, M.C. and DORJI, C. 2007. Records of dacine fruit flies and new species of *Dacus* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in Bhutan. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology* 55(1): 1-21.

HANCOCK, D.L. and DREW, R.A.I. 2006. A revised classification of subgenera and species groups in *Dacus* Fabricius (Diptera, Tephritidae). Pp 167-205, in: Merz, B. (ed.), *Phylogeny, taxonomy, and biology of tephritoid flies (Diptera, Tephritoidea). Instrumenta Biodiversitatis* Vol. VII. Natural History Museum, Geneva; 274 pp.

WHITE, I.M. 2006. Taxonomy of the Dacina (Diptera: Tephritidae) of Africa and the Middle East. *African Entomology Memoir* 2: [i-v], 1-156, cd-rom.